

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Statistical and Fiscal Data

December 16, 1998 through April 26, 2006

Prepared by the

Office of Public School Construction

Luisa M. Park Lori Morgan

Executive Officer Deputy Executive Officer Mavonne Garrity Assistant Executive Officer

1130 K Street, Suite 400 Sacramento, California 95814 www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov

Historical Data

SECTION 1 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 55: \$6,135,523,333

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 55 funds from April 2004. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION	CHARTER CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED		JOINT USE
Apportionments	\$ 1,718,955,228	\$ 2,233,650,529	\$ 276,810,763	\$ 1,887,970,777	\$ 18,136,036
Pupils Housed	90,854	463,586	9,452	46,330	
Number of Projects	422	1,032	28	496	22
Funds Released	\$ 1,232,422,455	\$ 1,511,781,921			

Total Proposition 55 Apportionments: \$ 6,135,523,333 Remaining Proposition 55 Funds: \$ 3,864,476,667

A detailed report listing the projects apportioned from Proposition 55 is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov.

SECTION 2 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 47: \$11,272,136,715

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 47 funds from December 2002. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION	CHARTER CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED		JOINT USE	
Apportionments	\$ 6,139,309,053	\$ 3,287,240,657	\$ 97,034,156	\$ 1,697,872,847	\$ 50,680,002	
Pupils Housed	478,395	1,010,718	2,651	53,472		
Number of Projects	1,224	2,006	6	303	54	
Funds Released	\$ 6,068,045,080	\$ 3,271,287,259				

Total Proposition 47 Apportionments: \$ 11,272,136,715 Remaining Proposition 47 Funds: \$ 127,863,285

A detailed report listing the projects apportioned from Proposition 47 is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov.

*These numbers include the net amount after rescissions.

SECTION 3 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 1A: \$6,660,575,202

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 1A funds since December 1998. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Qualified Lease-Purchase projects which were grandfathered and received Proposition 1A funds are included. The figure also includes funds dedicated for class size reduction. Projects which received an apportionment, but were later rescinded, have been removed and the funding added to the remaining Proposition 1A Funds. \$13,700,000 was transferred from the State Relocatable Classroom Fund to the Proposition 1A Fund for facility hardship. Interest earned on the fund has also been added. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION
Apportionments	\$ 3,556,892,528	\$ 2,630,751,036
Pupils Housed	342,322	919,299
Number of Projects	782	1,669

Class Size Reduction: \$472,931,638

The California Department of Education is responsible for the allocation of these funds. This figure includes site mitigation funds for Los Angeles Unified School District and Santa Ana Unified School District.

Total Proposition 1A Apportionments: \$6,660,575,202 (Proposition 1A funds released to districts with construction contracts is 97 percent of the funds apportioned.)

A detailed report listing the projects apportioned from Proposition 1A is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov.

SECTION 4 AVERAGE VALUE OF APPLICATIONS APPROVED PER MONTH

This section details the average value of new construction and modernization applications processed to the State Allocation Board (SAB) from January 1999 through April 26, 2006. Does not include financial hardship.

New Construction:Estimated average workload value of SAB approvals per month:\$ 100,094,706Modernization:Estimated average workload value of SAB approvals per month:\$ 90,636,344

Total Average Value of SFP Applications Per Month: \$ 190,731,049

SECTION 5 AVERAGE PER PUPIL APPORTIONMENT

The information presented in this section represents the average apportionment made to a new construction or modernization application. The average is developed from all construction application apportionments made from the inception of the School Facility Program (SFP) through the date of this report. Column 1, State Share, includes site development, site acquisition and excessive hardship costs and is only the State share of the total project cost. Partial apportionments for advance site and planning applications were not included in the average. Column 2, State Apportionment, shows the average cost of the State apportionment, which is the State share plus financial hardship.

New Construction

_		
GRADE	COLUMN 1: STATE SHARE	COLUMN 2: STATE APPORTIONMENT
K-6	\$ 9,012	\$ 11,115
7–8	9,537	11,972
9–12	12,082	14,786
Total Average ¹	\$ 10.190	\$ 12.559

Note: To calculate the average total project cost (State share plus district match), multiply the figure in the State share column by 2 for new construction and by 1.667 for modernization.

Modernization

GRADE	COLUMN 1: STATE SHARE	COLUMN 2: STATE APPORTIONMENT
K-6	\$ 2,837	\$ 2,931
7–8	3,021	3,098
9–12	3,955	4,074
Total Average ¹	\$ 3,238	\$ 3,337

¹ Total average is found by dividing all SFP construction application apportionments by the total number of pupils served.

SECTION 6 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FUNDED FROM PROPOSITIONS 55, 47, AND 1A

The State Allocation Board incurs expenses for the administration of the School Facility Program and the apportionment and distribution of Propositions 55, 47 and 1A bond funds. The costs consist of the following categories:

Administrative Costs: Costs associated with staffing provided by the Office of Public School Construction and the California Department of Education, School Facilities Planning Division.

Pooled Money Investment Fund (PMIF): The State Allocation Board borrows cash from the state PMIF in order to make fund releases to eligible, approved SFP applications. When the State Treasurer subsequently sells bonds made available from the bond measures, the PMIF loans are retired. The interest charged on the PMIF loans is partially off-set by interest earned on bond funds.

State Controller and State Treasurer: Costs to compensate these agencies for services related to fund releases and bond sales.

This section will be updated January and July.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FUNDED FROM PROPOSITIONS 55, 47 AND 1A	TOTAL TO DATE	PERCENT TO DATE
Administrative Costs	\$ 74,299,873	0.26
Pooled Money Investment Fund	10,160,113	0.04
State Controller and State Treasurer	8,016,586	0.03
Total	\$ 92.476.572	0.33

Status of Funds

SECTION 7 CURRENT FUNDING AVAILABILITY AS OF APRIL 26, 2006

This section represents SFP funding availability after the consent and special agenda were approved on April 26, 2006. Amounts shown are in millions of dollars.

PROGRAM	AVAILABLE AS OF APRIL 26, 2006
Proposition 55	
New Construction	\$ 3,477.7
Energy	2.3
Small High School	20.0
Modernization	3.0
Energy	5.8
Small High School	5.0
Critically Overcrowded Schools—Reserve (15 Percent Maximum)	283.0
Charter School	9.1
Relocation/DTSC Fees	13.1
Hazardous Material/Waste Removal	2.6
Joint Use	31.8
Subtotal	\$ 3,853.4
Proposition 47	
New Construction	\$ 15.4
Energy	29.1
Small High School	0.0
Modernization	9.7
Energy	0.1
Critically Overcrowded Schools—Reserved	58.5
Joint Use	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 112.8
Grand Total	\$ 3,966.2

Applications Awaiting Funding

SECTION 8 APPLICATIONS AWAITING FUNDING AS OF APRIL 26, 2006: \$856,583,937

This section represents the potential State apportionment of all projects for new construction and modernization in the OPSC that have been received, but have not yet been funded. The figures include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships, site development, site acquisition costs and separate site and/or design applications.

UNFUNDED	POTENTIAL STATE APPORTIONMENT		
Modernization	\$ 50,200,639		
Total Unfunded	\$	50,200,639	

Unfunded: All projects for new construction and modernization that have been approved by the SAB, but have not yet been funded.

WORKLOAD	POTENTIAL STATE APPORTIONMENT
New Construction	\$ 561,099,825
Modernization	245,283,473
Total Workload	\$ 806,383,298

Workload: All projects for new construction and modernization that have been accepted for processing, but have not yet been submitted to the SAB. These costs have not been validated and may increase or decrease.

A detailed workload report listing the projects is posted bi-weekly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov. The workload totals in this report may vary with the workload totals on the Web site because they reflect information available on different dates.

ELIGIBILITY APPLICATIONS ON FILE AS OF APRIL 26, 2006 SECTION 9

This section details the total eligibility represented by SFP eligibility applications filed, processed, and approved by the SAB. Applications received but not $processed \ are \ not included. The \ eligibility \ is \ expressed \ as \ the \ number \ of \ pupils \ for \ which \ the \ district \ may \ request \ new \ construction \ or \ modernization \ funding. The$ data is based on five year enrollment projections. It is adjusted when a new construction or modernization funding application is approved which utilizes a portion of the eligibility. Column 1 is the eligibility for which no design or new construction applications have been filed. Column 2 is the eligibility for which design funding applications have been approved by the SAB, but for which no new construction or modernization funding applications have been filed. The total reflects eligibility on file for which future new construction or modernization funding applications may be filed. See Section 10 for a calculation of the potential cost of this eligibility.

New Construction

GRADE	COLUMN 1	COLUMN 2	TOTAL PUPILS
K-6	295,186	55,533	350,719
7–8	101,232	13,347	114,579
9–12	295,094	39,250	334,344
Total Pupils	691,512	108,130	799,642

Modernization

GRADE	COLUMN 1	COLUMN 2	TOTAL PUPILS
K-6	509,471	9,675	519,146
7–8	194,559	5,237	199,796
9–12	281,201	4,536	285,737
Total Pupils	985,231	19,448	1,004,679

SECTION 10 COST OF ELIGIBILITY APPLICATIONS APPROVED AS OF APRIL 26, 2006: \$13,947,686,023

This section represents the total State share of eligibility applications on file with the OPSC. Explanations of the assumptions used are found in Part A through D.

New Construction

Part A reflects approved new construction eligibility (Section 9, New Construction, Column 1) times the average State apportionment, including financial hardship (Section 5, New Construction, Column 2). Part B reflects approved new construction eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 9, New Construction, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 20 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that the State will fund the remaining 80 percent of the total project cost in the future.

Part A. New Construction Eligibility

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 9, COL 1)		AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 5, COL 2)		NEW CONSTRUCTION GRANT
K-6	295,186	×	\$ 11,115	=	\$ 3,280,992,390
7–8	101,232	×	\$ 11,972	=	\$ 1,211,949,504
9–12	295,094	×	\$ 14,786	=	\$ 4,363,259,884
	691,512		Total New Construction Grant	=	\$ 8.856.201.778

Part B. New Construction Eligibility—Projects with Design Approvals

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 9, COL 2)		AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 5, COL 1)	× 2 × 80% =	DESIGN ONLY COSTS	
K-6	55,533	×	\$ 9,012	× 2 × 80% =	\$ 800,741,434	
7–8	13,347	×	\$ 9,537	× 2 × 80% =	\$ 203,664,542	
9–12	39,250	×	\$ 12,082	× 2 × 80% =	\$ 758,749,600	
108,130			Total Design	Total Design Only Costs =		
Total New Construction Part A and B			Part A and B =	\$ 10.619.357.354		

Modernization

Part C reflects approved modernization eligibility (Section 8, Modernization, Column 1) times the average State apportionment (Section 5, Modernization, Column 2). Part D reflects approved modernization eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 8, Modernization, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 15 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that they will continue to be financial hardship projects and that the State will fund the remaining 85 percent of the total project cost.

Part C. Modernization Eligibility

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 9, COL 1)		AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 5, COL 2)		MODERNIZATION GRANT
K-6	509,471	×	\$ 2,931	=	\$ 1,493,259,501
7–8	194,559	×	\$ 3,098	=	\$ 602,743,782
9–12	281,201	×	\$ 4,074	=	\$ 1,145,612,874
	985,231		Total Modernization Grant	=	\$ 3,241,616,157

Part D. Modernization Eligibility—Projects with Design Approvals

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 9, COL 1)	×	AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 5, COL 1)	× 85% =		DESIGN ONLY COSTS	
K-6	9,675	×	\$ 2,837 / 60%	× 85%	=	\$	38,884,631
7–8	5,237	×	\$ 3,021 / 60%	× 85%	=	\$	22,413,051
9–12	4,536	×	\$ 3,955 / 60%	× 85%	=	\$	25,414,830
19,448		Total Design Only Costs		=	\$	86,712,512	
Total Modernization Part C and D		and D	=	\$	3,328,328,669		

Total Cost of Eligibility Applications Approved

\$ 13,947,686,023